Sustainable Management of Community Buildings

27th March 2014

Professor Sujeeva Setunge

Head, Civil Engineering Discipline

School of Civil, Environmental and Chemical Engineering,



www.rmit.edu.au

Outline

- Introduction
- Conceptual framework
- Building Hierarchy
- Deterioration Prediction
- Risk cost optimisation
- A glimpse of software CAMS
- Data collection applet
- Concluding remarks

Where we started

- Project funded by the Australian Research Council \$300,000 over a three year period, \$105,000 industry contribution
 - -2 Ph.D students -- three years -- full time
 - -Half time research fellow
 - -Software development cost
 - -Commenced on 1 July 2009

RMIT Research Team

- Professor Ron Wakefield
- Associate Professor Sujeeva Setunge
- Associate Professor Kevin Zhang
- Hessam Mohseni (Research Fellow)
- Pushpitha Kalutara (Doctoral Student)
- Dr. Daniel Kong (Research Fellow)
- Dr. Buddhi Jayatilleke
- Kanishka Atapattu (Research Assistant)

Partners of the ARC funded project

- RMIT University
- Municipal Association of Victoria
- Brimbank City Council
- Glen Eira City Council
- Monash City Council
- Greater Dandenong City Council
- Mornington Peninsula Shire Council
- Kingston City Council
- Integrate Australia Pty Ltd.

Other interested organisations

- City of Melbourne
- Port of Melbourne Corporation
- Metro Trains
- South Gippsland Shire Council

CAMS PROJECT FLOWCHART DIAGRAM



IPWEA -NAMS Hierarchy



Deterioration prediction methods



 Discrete condition data collected using visual inspection

- Deterministic vs. Probabilistic
 - Deterministic methods
 - Probabilistic methods



Current Practice vs. Proposed Method







Deterioration Prediction Markov Chain Application

P _{ij}	C.1	C.2	C.3	C.4	C.5
C.1	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0
C.2	0	0.3	0.4	0.25	0.05
C.3	0	0	0.2	0.7	0.1
C.4	0	0	0	0.2	0.8
C.5	0	0	0	0	1



- Upper triangular matrix
- Reducible Markov Chain ; Unless Rehabilitation

pii > 0			
P'' = 0	Rating	Component Condition	Condition Description
$\sum \cdots 1$	1	Very Good Condition	The element is as new
$\rightarrow pij = 1$	2	Good Condition	The element is sound; Minor damage, Minor maintenance required
	3	Moderate Condition	Moderate damage; Moderate maintenance required
J	4	Poor Condition	Major damage; Major maintenance required
	5	Very Poor Condition	Serious damage; Element should be replaced
und (4) Research Questions (1) Research Methods (1) Literature Review (5)	AM Framework (4)	Building Hierarchy (2) Markov Process (2)	Markov Implementation (7) Convergence Challenge (6) Validation (4) Practicality (8) Software (2) Pub

Deterioration prediction methods



Publications (1)

Deterioration prediction

Research Questions (1)

Background (4)

- Deterministic vs. Probabilistic
 - Deterministic methods

Literature Review (5)

Research Methods (1)



Markov Process (2) Convergence Challenge (6)

Validation (4)

Practicality (8)

Software (2)

Building ierarchy (2)

Calibrated & Validated Transition Matrices

Element		Trans	ition	Matri	x		Transient Probabilities	Expected Condition
Services	S	ervices	- Tran	sition 1	natrix		1.00	0 20 Expected 80 100
	Cond.	1	2	3	4	5	0.80	1.50
	1	0.64	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.00		2.00
	2	0.00	0.24	0.31	0.46	0.00	(20) (20)	2.50
	3	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.16	0.20	0.30	3.50
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.61	0.30	4.00
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0 20 40 60 80 100 Age	4.50
Finishes	Finishes	. Tran	sition	matriv			1.00	0 20 Expecter# 80 100
	Cond	1	2	3	4	5	0.90	
	1	0.46	0 33	0.17	0.04	0.01	0.70 @0	2.00
	2	0.00	0.40	0.60	0.00	0.00	(20)	2.50
	3	0.00	0.00	0.87	0.12	0.00	0.30 Cond. 4	3.00
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.10	4.00
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00 0 20 40 60 80 200 Ace	4.50
Essential	Eccont	iol Som	iaaa '	Tronci	tion m	1.00	1.00 🔶	0 20 Fride anti- 60 80 100
Services	Cand	1	2	2		5	0.80	
	1	0.62	4	0.22	4	3	0.70	2.00
	1	0.02	0.13	0.23	0.01	0.01	Cond. 2	2.50
	2	0.00	0.77	0.22	0.01	0.00	0.30 Cond. 4	3.00
	3	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.02	0.00	0.10	4.00
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.11	0.00 20 40 60 80 100	4.50
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00		5.00
Superstru	Supe	rstruct	ure - T	ransiti	on mat	rix	0.00	1.00 20 Expected 80 100
cture	Cond.	1	2	3	4	5	0.80	1.50
	1	0.84	0.00	0.15	0.01	0.00	aro record. 2	2.00
	2	0.00	0.54	0.25	0.17	0.04	Cond. 3	3.00
	3	0.00	0.00	0.84	0.12	0.04	0.20	3.50
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.85	0.15		4.00
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0 10 40 00 00 100 Age	5.00
Internal	Sup	oerstru	cture/In	nternal	Walls	-	1.00	0 20 Expected 80 100
Walls	-	Tra	nsition	matri	x		0.80	1.50
	Cond.	1	2	3	4	5	Cond. 1	2.00
	1	0.51	0.11	0.37	0.01	0.00	Geo Cond. 3	2.50
	2	0.00	0.54	0.21	0.23	0.02	0.30 0.20	3.50
	3	0.00	0.00	0.91	0.08	0.00		4.00
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.01	0 20 40 60 80 100 Age	4.50 5.00
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00		
Ceiling	Super	rstructu	ıre/Cei	ling - '	Fransit	ion	0.90	0 20 Expected 80 100
			matr	ix		-	0.80	1.50
	Cond.	1	4	3	4	5	Cond. 2	2.00
	1	0.44	0.20	0.21	0.14	0.01	Cond. 3	3.00
	2	0.00	0.63	0.29	0.08	0.00	0.20 Cond. 5	3.50
	3	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.03	0.00		4.50
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.03	- 20 40 60 80 100 Age	5.00
Enter: 1	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	100	
External Doors	Sup	erstruc	ture/E	xterna	Doors		0.90	1.00 20 Expected 80 100
20013	Cond	11	2		A	5	0.70	1.50
	1	0.60	0.10	0.27	0.02	0.01	Cond. 2	2.50
	2	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.49	0.00	0200	3.00
	3	0.00	0.00	0.93	0.06	0.01	0.20 Cogd. 5	3.50
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.01	0.00	4.50
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0 20 40 60 80 100 Age	5.00

Veranda	Sup	erstru	cture/	Verand	a Post	-	1.00 20 Expected #0
Post		Tra	nsition	<u>matri</u>	x		1.50
	Cond.	1	2	3	4	5	0.70 0.70
	1	0.37	0.06	0.50	0.07	0.00	250 250
	2	0.00	0.77	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.30
	3	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.06	0.00	0.00
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	5.00 ···
External	Sup	erstru	ture/E	xterna	l Wall	s -	1.00 20 Expected *0
Walls		Tra	nsition	1 matri	x		0.80
	Cond.	1	2	3	4	5	2.00
	1	0.24	0.44	0.31	0.00	0.01	20 - Cont.3 1.00
	2	0.00	0.54	0.46	0.00	0.00	
	3	0.00	0.00	0.85	0.15	0.00	
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.03	
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
Stairs	Sune	rstruct	ure/St	airs . T	ransit	ion	1.00 C Distance 1 0 20 Editoretail 80
	Supe	. stratt	mate	rix	.unsu		
	Cond.	1	2	3	4	5	0.70
	1	0.54	0.00	0.25	0.21	0.00	
	2	0.00	0.49	0.35	0.15	0.01	0.00
	3	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.01	0.01	0.20
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.01	0.00 BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0 20 40 60 80 100 5.00
Window s	Supers	tructu	re/Win	dows -	Trans	ition	0 20 Expected 80
			matr	rix			
	Cond.	1	2	3	4	5	8.70 020
	1	0.37	0.23	0.30	0.10	0.00	- Cond. 2 2.50
	2	0.00	0.59	0.29	0.11	0.01	
	3	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.06	0.01	0.00
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	Age 5:00 -
Roof	Supe	erstruc	tu re/R	oof - T	ransit i	on	1.00 20 Expected 80
			matr	rix			0.00 E.50
	Cond.	1	2	3	4	5	- Cond. 2 2.00
	1	0.43	0.15	0.29	0.12	0.01	1.00 + Cond. 3 1.00
	2	0.00	0.45	0.54	0.00	0.01	0.00 0.00 15 1.50
	3	0.00	0.00	0.93	0.07	0.00	
	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0 20 40 60 80 100 Age
Internal	- D	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Doors	Sup	erstru	a ure/l)	nternal matri	, Door	s -	0.90 20 Expected *0
	Cond	1	2	3	4	5	0.70 Cont. 1 200
	1	0.31	0 40	0.23	0.05	0.00	20 - Cond. 2 2.50
	2	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	400
	3	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.10	0.01	0.20 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	4.50
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	Age 5.00
Upper	Su	erstru	cture/I	Upperl	Floors	-	0 20 Exbected 80
Floors		Tra	nsition	matri	x		1.00
	Cond.	1	2	3	4	5	0.70
	1	0.63	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.01	2.50 2.50
	2	0.00	0.73	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3	0.00	0.00	0.93	0.07	0.00	
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.69	
		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.0.0	Age 5.00

School of Civil, Environmental & Chemical Engineering

Risk and Expenditure Projections

 LoS, Rehabilitation Cost & Consequence:

<u>3</u>	Unit	External Fabric				
			Intervention Criteria			
	Quantity of Element in Condition	Consequence Cost of Being in Condition	If Qty % Greater Than	Rehab to Cond	Cost/Unit (\$)	
Condition 1	80	\$ -	100%	1	\$	
Condition 2	20	\$ -	100%	2	\$	
Condition 3	20	\$ 1,000	70%	2	\$	50
Condition 4	0	\$ 3,000	40%	2	\$ 10	00
Condition 5	10	\$ 5,000	10%	1	\$ 30	<u>о</u> с

Projections (Pre-rehab & Post-rehab):



 Expenditure Projection (Individual & Network Level):



RMIT University©2013

School of Civil, Environmental & Chemical Engineering

Sustainable Decision-Making



Pushpitha Kalutara, SCECE/SEH/RMIT

Calculation of Sustainability Index Values

Component	Impact on Environmental Sustainability	Impact on Economic Sustainability	Impact on Social Sustainability	Impact on Functional Sustainability	Impact on Overall Sustainability
A	3.40	3.45	3.10	3.00	3.31
В	3.13	3.15	3.30	3.25	3.18
С	2.34	2.88	2.02	3.12	2.52
D	2.79	2.88	2.40	2.38	2.70
E	3.79	3.62	3.78	3.92	3.75
F	3.96	5.00	3.90	3.33	4.12
G	1.25	1.60	1.80	2.00	1.54
Н	2.54	2.32	2.45	2.04	2.41
I	3.07	3.25	3.10	3.25	3.15
J	3.76	3.98	3.88	4.21	3.87

Council Asset Management System





www.rmit.edu.au

Features

www.assethub.com.au

- A flexible asset registry developed
 - Identify buildings child care centre, sports pavilion etc.
 - Component types, groups and components
 - Functional areas within a building
- Deterioration curves for key building components developed using condition data
- 320 component level curves developed using the NAMS
- Probabilistic risk-cost optimisation developed for decision making
- A sustainability focussed prioritisation method developed
- Hosted in Amazon server Sydney

Appearance of the software

- Clear Simple platform
- Progressive step process



RMIT UNIVERSITY		
OUNCIL ASSET MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	Welcome, Admin rmit	Logout
 Building Register Component Register Collection Data Prediction Module Sustainable Decision Making Security W Utilities 	Welcome to CAMS! Select a function from the left side	pane

Step 1: Asset registry

- Hierarchical System (5 levels)
 - Building Category, Building, Component Group, component type, Component





Step 2: Inspection score input

Manual Entry

Inspection Data Management

inspection bata management					
Building Name	TURKISH CHILD MINDING CENTRE				
Functional Area Name	Kitchen (near the back)				
Building Component Name	kitchen roof				
Inspection Date	Wed, Aug 28, 2013				
Assessor Name	Joe				
Condition Rating	3.0				
Description	Clay tiled				
Remaining Useful Life	2 years				
Defect Details	The tiles have cracked, algae seems to appear				
Extent	Moderate				
Severrity					
Photo					
Work Priority	High				
Repair Cost	120.00				
Trade					
Additional Comments	Ensure that asbestos checks are carried out during rep.				
	Submit Back				

Excel upload



Data collection Applet



Step 3: Deterioration Risk Cost Analysis

Indicators to show what information is inputted



Simple Deterioration forecasting

- Inputs Include:
 - Transition Matrix
 - Condition Score



Name *	Exterior Works							
Transition Period *	5 v							
Description/Remarks								
	0.20	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	0.00	0.20	0.80	0.00	0.00			
	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.80	0.00			
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.80			
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00			
	Submit	Reset						

Step 4: Deterioration Risk Cost Analysis

- Inputs Include:
 - Transition Matrix
 - Condition Score
 - LOS, Risk & Cost

Consequence cost is the cost incurred by an element when it is in a given condition. Eg: HVAC in condition 4 () will increase the operating energy by \$100 per month

		Component Group: Esse	ntial Services		
	Quantity of Element In condition	Consequence Cost of being in condition	If Quantity % Greater than	Intervention Criteria Rehab to condition	Cost/Unit
Condition 1	0	\$ 0	100 %	Condition 1	\$ 0
Condition 2	1	\$ 0	100 %	Condition 2	\$ 0
Condition 3	0	\$ 100	50 %	Condition 2	\$ 201
Condition 4	0	\$ 500	25 %	Condition 2	\$ 400
Condition 5	0	\$ 1000	10 %	Condition 3	\$ 500
			11		
		Submit Close	Refresh		

Threshold of Consideration Eg: Only Rehab to condition 2 if the percentage of elements in Condition 4 exceeds 25%

Step 5: What-if Analysis & Backlog Identification

Inputs

.oS, Risk & Cos	st Input				
		Component Group: Curtain	s & Blinds Group		
	Quantity of Element In condition	Consequence Cost of being in condition	lf Quantity % Greater than	Intervention Criteria Rehab to condition	Cost/Unit
Condition 1	0	\$ 0	100 %	Condition 1	\$
Condition 2	1	\$ 0	100 %	Condition 2	\$
Condition 3	0		70 %	Condition 2 -	\$ 50
Condition 4	1	\$ 3000	40 %	Condition 2 -	\$ 100
Condition 5	0	\$ 5000	10 %	Condition 1	\$ 300
		Submit Close	Refresh	Set to Condition 3	•
				2	
	Ca	uld be consequence r Consequence Rank	cost (\$) : Det : 1 – 5 or 10	tailed	ř

Risk cost = Cost of failure x Probability of Failure



What-if Analysis & Backlog Identification

Outputs



What-if Analysis & Backlog Identification

Backlog / Surplus Prediction

Backlog / Surplus-Defined LoS
 Backlog / Surplus-LoS Condition 1
 Backlog / Surplus-LoS Condition 3
 Backlog / Surplus-LoS Condition 4
 Backlog / Surplus-LoS Condition 5





Curve validations







Curve validations





Technology



- Based on Microsoft's Web Applications Development Platform
 - Microsoft .NET, SQL Server 2008
- Hosted on Amazon Web Services in Sydney
 - Best in class security, scalability and performance
- Each CAMS account runs on a separate database
 - Data segregation
- Cloud based
 - No hardware or special software required
 - New features and updates gets rolled out and immediately available for all users
 - Runs on any compatible browser.
 No installations required



Browser Compatibility



- Compatible with most browsers
 - IE (Version 9.0 onwards)
 - Chrome
 - Mozilla
- Runs on desktop, laptop and tablet versions



In progress- Mobile Inspection App



- A tablet based app for capturing inspection data
- Seamless integration with the CAMS Online application
- Currently in prototype stage





Some recent recognition

- New grant (\$50K) obtained from Victorian Government to implement the tool, with Integrate Australia Pty Ltd.
- National Asset Management Council of Engineers Australia Postgraduate Research Awards 2012 and 2013





Thank you Q & A